

Part XXIV

Future of Informatics - Chapter 4

CHAPTER 4: NEW PERCEPTION of (SCIENTIFIC) INFORMATICS

CONTENTS

- Wisdoms
- Why it is very important to view informatics properly.
- Old and current views of CS
- Why are old views no longer acceptable and new needed.
- Information processing in nature - biological and quantum
- Revolutions in science and technology.
- Basics of a new view of informatics
- Scientific informatics - goals, features, elements, methods, paradigms.
- Grand challenges of scientific informatics
- Case studies: I. why we need to explore different information processing worlds?
- Case studies: II. Informatics and physics
- Case studies: III. Informatics and mathematics.
- Case studies: IV. Informatics and other sciences
- What can be learned from the history of mathematics?
- What can be learned from the history of physics?
- Other big challenges of scientific informatics
- Scientific informatics as the queen and servant of sciences

PROLOGUE

In the first three chapters we tried to demonstrate that paradigms, laws, methods and tools of information processing and transmission are driving force of evolution that can be seen as coming (very) soon to a Singularity era in which a merge of biological and non-biological intelligence and creativity can have enormous, hard to imagine consequences.

To meet its historical challenge a new (visionary) perception of informatics, much broader and deeper, seems to be needed.

This is the subject of this and few next chapters.

- Imagination is more important than knowledge.

Albert Einstein

- The modern version of the grand question "Mind or Matter?" is "Information or physics?"

Frank Wilczek, 2004

- New scientific truth does not win because its adversaries get convinced and open their eyes. It wins because its adversaries die and a new generation of scientists come who this truth already know.

Max Planck

BASIC QUESTIONS

- Should recent developments in science and technology be understood as giving rise of a need for a new, much broader and deeper, perception of Informatics?
- What are current Grand Challenges of "new" Informatics - from theory, technology and application points of view?
- Should recent developments in Informatics and other academic disciplines be seen as showing an emergence of a new, Informatics driven, general methodology of large importance for all sciences, technologies, and all major areas of functioning of society?
- Should new Informatics be seen as both a new Queen and new Servant of sciences, technologies and so on?

WHY IT IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR SOCIETY to PERCEIVE PROPERLY INFORMATICS?

- Wrong (too narrow and shallow) perception of a science/technology discipline usually leads to:
 - Wrong emphasis on main goals, methods and value systems within the given area of science.
 - Wrong/weak support of that science discipline by society and money granting agencies.
 - Wrong position of such a science discipline within the whole academic community.
 - Wrong orientation and low impact of the education.
 - Not sufficient interest of very bright students in the discipline.

- Old-fashioned view of any discipline usually hinders thoughts and intellectual progress in that discipline.
- Time came to clear up the philosophical and practical confusion of contemporary computer science.
- Central to the new perception of Informatics presented here are:
 - A series of discoveries concerning the key role and large potential of the information processing in nature that are not much consistent with prevailing perception of Computer Science.
 - Discoveries showing enormous potential of new, informatics based methodology.
 - A series of discoveries indicating that such goals as a global computer, cell-, molecule- and brain-inspired computers seem to be realistic goals.
 - A series of events demonstrating that one can hardly have very significant innovations without employment of informatics thinking and tools.
 - Discoveries showing that all sciences converge to Informatics and all major technologies converge to ICT.

Expected exponential growth of performance and miniaturization of ICT for quite a long time allows science, technology and medicine to see as perhaps their two main megagoals (what used to be seen as science fiction till recently):

- To create superpowerful non-biological intelligence and its merge with biological intelligence.
- To fight natural death.

These megagoals also require much broader and deeper developments of Informatics

WHY a NEW PERCEPTION? - BASIC PHILOSOPHICAL MOTIVATION

- From time to time, in any area of knowledge, usually after a big progress, accumulated knowledge suggests that in order to make even bigger progress a new perception of some fundamentals of the field is needed.
- To make a revolution in a field one has usually to re-think fundamentals of the discipline.
- To make a revolution in an area of science/technology, usually an approach based on a new, deeper and broader philosophical standpoint is needed - in short, a visionary approach is what is much needed.

Observation from 17th century:

Men who fashioned modern science, mathematicians in their general method and concrete investigations, were primarily speculative thinkers and visionary scientists who expected to apprehend broad, deep, but simple, clear and immutable mathematical principles, either through intuition or through observations and experiments.

By John Herman Randall: Science was born of a faith in the mathematical interpretation of nature.

New vision: New science is being born of a faith in the information processing interpretation of nature

OLD/CURRENT PERCEPTIONS of INFORMATICS - COMPUTER SCIENCE

FIRST IMPORTANT VIEW

The first widely accepted and still dominating views of computer science were presented in a very cleverly written, and much influential, [paper of Newel, Simon and Perlis, published in Science in 1967](#), that well captured the perception of the field at that time.

The basic ideas presented in their paper were:

”Whenever there are phenomena there can be a science dealing with these phenomena. Phenomena breed sciences. Since there are computers, there is computer science. The phenomena surrounding computers are varied, complex and rich.”

SECOND EARLY and INFLUENTIAL VIEWS of COMPUTER SCIENCE

A citation from an article on ACM computer science educational curricula (1989) - still not seen as obsolete.

- **Old debate continue. Is computer science a science? An engineering discipline? Or merely a technology, an inventor and purveyor of computing commodities? Is it lasting or will it fade with a generation?**

BAD EDUCATIONAL IMPACTS of the name "COMPUTER SCIENCE"

- It leads to a too narrow, shallow, and technology-oriented interpretation of the field.
- It is reminiscent of the 19th century attempt to create ""microscope science" and the 20th century attempt to create "poultry science" (and to have a "science of robbing" as a part of the history science.
- The educational impact of the name "computer science" could perhaps be compared with those which the name "drill engineering" could have on the education of dentists.

OTHER VIEWS of COMPUTER SCIENCE - I.

- Computer science as a science is the study of scientific problems related to the design, behaviour and utilization of computers.

Computer folklore

- Computer science is a science of the artificial that studies artifacts and contributes to the design theory and managing complexity.

Simon, 1969

- Computer science as a science is, in the narrow sense, the study of symbolic representations and manipulations of these representations, and, in a broader sense, the study of representations and manipulations of knowledge.

Hopcroft-Kennedy report, 1989

- Computer science is a discipline that deals with representation, implementation, processing and communication of information.

Ullman report

- Computer science is the systematic study of computing systems and computations. The body of knowledge resulting from this discipline contains theories for understanding computing systems and methods, design methodologies, algorithms and tools, methods for testing concepts, for analysis and verification, and knowledge representation and implementation.

US-Congress Report, 1992

- Computer science is the study of computers and what they can do.

An influential publication of the National Research Council in USA, in 2004, namely *Computer science: reflections on and from the field*

- Computer science is the study of computers, including their designs (architecture) and their uses for computation, data processing and systems control. Its core disciplines are: architecture, software, operating systems, information systems and databases, theory (computation methods and numerical analysis, data structures and algorithms).

Encyclopedia Britannica (2006)

ONE SPECIAL VIEW of COMPUTER SCIENCE

- Computer science is the study of computers and what they can do - the inherent power and limitations of abstract computers, the design and characteristics of real computers, and the innumerable applications of computers to solving problems.
- Computer hardware and software have been central to computer science since its origins.
- Computer scientists seek to understand and how to represent and to reason about processes and information. They create languages for representing these phenomena and develop methods for analysing and creating these phenomena.
- They create abstractions, including abstractions that are themselves used to compose, manipulate and represent other abstractions.
- They study the symbolic representation, implementation, manipulation and communication of information.
- They create, study, experiment on, and improve real-world computation and communication systems as well as methods to operate such artifacts.

Reflections on the Field - reflections from the field, National Research Council, US, Mary show, 2004

Dijkstra: "Computing science is - and will always be - concerned with the interplay between mechanized and human symbol manipulation usually referred to as "computing", and "programming", respectively. It is located in the direction of formal mathematics and applied logic, but ultimately, far beyond where those are now,..."

Dijkstra: Computer science is as much about computers as astronomy is about telescopes

Perhaps the most significant recent step in the correct direction has been that of P.J. Denning in the ACM Communications (July 2007), saying that **science behind computing is a natural science.**

WHAT SUPPORTS CURRENT VIEWS of COMPUTER SCIENCE

- 1 General views of the underlying problems.
 - Computer science deals with **immense differences in the scale of phenomena**. From systems manipulating atoms and molecules as well as with individual bits in computers to trillion operations per second and highly complex software systems and problems/solutions describing languages.
 - To deal with these problems computer science has to create **many levels of abstractions**. It has to create intellectual tools to conceive, design, control, verify, program and reason about the most complicated of the human creations.
 - All that has to be done with the **unprecedented precision** because the underlying hardware is a universal computer and therefore a chaotic system.
- 2 **Enormous power** of computation and communication systems.
- 3 **Enormous difficulties** with design complex, reliable and secure software.
- 4 Strong feelings that computer science is deeply intertwined with engineering concerns and considerations. That it concentrates much more on the **how**, than on **what**, which is more the focal point of physical sciences.
- 5 Computer science advances are often demonstrated by **dramatic demonstrations** and it is often that the (ides and concepts tested in the) dramatic demos influence the research agenda in computer science.

WHAT is MATHEMATICS? - OFFICIAL VIEWS

- Greek views and their developments.
 - **Mathematics** as a science centers around such concepts as **number and magnitude**.
 - **Mathematics** as a science centers around such concepts as **number, magnitude** and **form**.
 - **Mathematics** as a science centres around such concepts as **number, magnitude, form** and **change**.
- **Encyclopedia Britannica**: Mathematics is the science of structure, order and relations that has evolved from elementary practice of counting, measuring and describing shapes of objects - it deals with logical reasoning and quantitative calculations, and its development has involved on an increasing degree of deduction and abstraction of its subject matter.
- Mathematics is deductive study of numbers, geometry and various abstract constructs (the Longman Encyclopedia, 1989).
- **Soviet encyclopedia**: Mathematics is the science *to study spacial forms and quantitative relations of the real world*

WHAT is MATHEMATICS? - PERSONAL VIEWS

- Mathematics may be defined as the subject in which we never know what we are talking about, nor whether what we are saying is true.

B. Russel (1917)

- Mathematics in general is fundamentally the science of self evident things.
F. Klein - the leading German mathematicians at the end of 19th century.
- When mathematicians refer to reality, they are not certain; and as far as they are certain they do not refer to reality

A. Einstein (19??).

- Mathematics is what mathematicians carry on and mathematicians carry on a highly sophisticated intellectual activity which is not easy to define
- Mathematics is the science that draws necessary conclusions.

B. Peirce(1809-1880)

- Pure mathematics is the class of all propositions of the form p implies q , where p , q are propositions.

F. Klein

- Mathematics in general is the science of self-evident things.
F. Klein (1849-1929)
- Mathematics is nothing more than a game played according to certain simple rules with meaningless marks on paper

D. Hilbert

- Physics is the science that deals with the structure of matter and the interactions between the fundamental constituents of the observable universe.

[Encyclopedia Britannica](#)

- Physics is the study of matter, energy and the relation between them. Physics today maybe loosely divided into classical and modern physics. **Classical physics** includes branches recognized and well developed before the beginning of 20th century: mechanics, acoustics, optics, thermodynamics and electricity and magnetism. **Modern physics** is concerned with behaviour of matter and energy under extreme conditions (small scale or rapidly moving objects).

- Physics is experience arranged in economical order.

Ernst Mach

- One needs to realize that not only physics determines what computers can do, but what computers can do, in turn, will define the ultimate nature of the laws of physics.

R. Landauer

WHY IS a NEW VIEW of INFORMATICS SO MUCH NEEDED? - I.

Recent results in the rapidly developing field of natural computing, have demonstrated that radically new and powerful information processing resources (for example quantum non-locality, superposition and parallelism), processes and devices exist in nature and, actually, existed abundantly far before the modern era of electronic computers.

Moreover, the nature made these resources and tools to play a very important role, especially for the functioning of living beings. **Information processing is as crucial phenomenon of life as eating and breathing.**

The overall development of science and technology therefore naturally requires to explore such interesting and important phenomena and their power, potentials, laws, limitations and so on. To do that is also of the crucial importance for our understanding of the universe, evolution, life, brain, human bodies, functioning of society

INFORMATION PROCESSING in NATURE

- Two big discoveries led to an understanding that natural sciences are information processing driven.
- The first one was the discovery, by Francis Crick and James Watson, in 1953, of the twin-corkscrew structure of DNA and how genetic information is encoded into DNA - followed by a demonstration, due to Adleman, how DNA computing could be performed and that it has a potential for remarkable efficiency.
- The second one was the discovery of quantum teleportation and of the unconditionally secure quantum generation of shared random classical key, by Charles Bennett et al. in 1984-1993 - followed by results of Shor, in 1994-1996, that quantum computing could be performed and has a potential for huge efficiency.
- These discoveries changed views on Physics and Biology that started to be seen and explored as being, to a significant extent, information processing driven sciences. From that it has been only a natural and logical step to see other natural sciences in this way, as being to an important degree information processing driven - and a new revolution in the study of natural and also other sciences has emerged.
- Of importance has been also an observation that there are primitive one cell organisms, like paramecium (from 50 to 350 μm in length), that do information processing par excellence in order to find foods, to avoid predators, to find a mate and to have sex - without having any synapses.
- All that resulted to a view that information processing is for life as important as eating and breathing.

WHY IS a NEW VIEW of INFORMATICS SO MUCH NEEDED? - II.

There are also more pragmatic reasons why a new and more attractive perception of Informatics is much needed.

- With old view of the discipline, and to that adjusted education, the field stopped to be seen as interesting and challenging enough for most of very bright students.
- At the same time, for the overall progress of science, technology, medicine and almost all other areas of society, is of up most importance that the field develops as well and as fast as possible - and for that very bright students are needed.
- Not appropriate and even obsolete view of a discipline leads to the wrong overall orientation of the research and to creation of not sufficiently challenging challenges.
- Not attractive enough view of the field leads usually to a weak and wrongly oriented support of the field by society and money providing agencies.
- It is getting clear that in the future in all areas of human activities one can hardly have important developments in which ideas and tools of Informatics would not play one of the key roles - progress in most areas of society much depends on the progress in broadly seen Informatics.

CASE STUDY 1 – A PERSONAL VIEW

- The percentage of college freshmen planning to major in computer science dropped by 70% between 2000 and 2005.
- In an economy in which computing has become central to innovation in nearly every sector, this decline poses a serious threat to American competitiveness.
- Indeed, it would not be an exaggeration to say that every significant technological innovation of the 21st century will require to use some more or less sophisticated Informatics technology.

Bill Gates (2007)

Expected exponential growth of performance and miniaturization of ICT for quite a long time allows science, technology and medicine to see as perhaps their two main metagoals (what used to be seen as science fiction till recently):

- To create superpowerful non-biological intelligence and its merge with biological intelligence.
- To fight natural death.

All that requires much broader and deeper developments of Informatics

- ICT (Informatics) is widely recognized for its essential role in transforming the economy and society. Societal challenges related to issues such as sustainable development, climate change, health, the ageing population, social and economic inclusion and security require new paradigm-breaking solutions in which ICT (Informatics) plays a key role.
- Europe requires a sustained scientific effort at the boundaries between ICT and other disciplines to address today's socio-economic challenges and gain technological competitive advantages.
- The shortage of skilled researchers and global competition for high-level multidisciplinary expertise in emerging research areas are hampering Europe's effort to gain and maintain excellence in ICT research.

WHY IT IS NOT EASY TO SEE PROPERLY NATURE OF INFORMATICS?

Informatics is usually presented (wrongly) as a very new science/engineering discipline being only in the beginnings of its developments

The whole field is under enormous pressure to develop fast:

- Computing and communication systems of much better performance;
- Computing and communication systems of much smaller size and energy consumption;
- Faster, verifiable, reliable, secure and compatible software;
- More efficient methods to design reliable, secure and maintainable software.

It is therefore a big societal pressure to see scientific base of the field as serving short term goals of technology.

SOURCES of NEW PERCEPTION of INFORMATICS

- The recent attempts to develop scientific basis for modern computing and information processing and communication technology and their utilisation.
- Long term efforts to derive knowledge from information, to formalize knowledge and to formalize as well as mechanize reasoning.
- The slowly developing understanding that various science disciplines that were motivated originally by the design and utilisation of computing technology, liberated already themselves from seeing their main goals as serving technologies and developed, step by step, into areas of science with very broad impacts - as have done logic, automata and complexity theories, for example.
- Old and recent attempts to understand, match and beat performance of human intelligence and bodies.
- Recent discoveries that information processing is not only inherent, but often a driving force, in physical, biological, chemical, economical and social processes.
- The subsequent understanding that the discipline is the basis of a new and very powerful methodology and has enormous impacts in all areas of human activities, extending old methodologies to new heights.
- A realisation that the discipline could and should establish super-challenges dealing with which requires a very broad view of the field.

NEW PERCEPTION of INFORMATICS

Let us start with a very short summary of new perception of Informatics.

Informatics has four very closely related components:

- scientific,
- engineering,
- methodological,
- applied.

As discussed in the following, the new perception of informatics see informatics as having similar scientific aims as physics has and similar methodological impacts as mathematics has.

The main goal of *Informatics* is to study laws, limitations, paradigms and phenomena of the *information worlds*.

As a scientific discipline of a very broad scope and deep nature, Informatics has many goals. Its main task is to discover, explore and exploit in depth, the laws, limitations, paradigms, concepts, models, theories, phenomena, structures and processes of both natural and virtual information processing worlds.

To achieve its tasks, scientific Informatics concentrates on developing new, information processing based, understanding of the universe, evolution, nature, life (both natural and artificial), brain and mind processes, intelligence, creativity, information storing, processing and transmission systems and tools, complexity, security, and other basic phenomena of information processing worlds.

The development and analysis of a variety of formal, descriptive, specification, computation, programming, interaction, communication, security and reasoning models and modes, languages and systems; development and analysis of (deterministic, randomized, genetic, evolutionary, quantum, approximation, optimization, on-line, parallel, concurrent, distributed, continuous, ...) algorithms, heuristics, protocols, processes and games are some of the main tools of scientific Informatics.

Data, information, knowledge, formal languages and systems, logics, calculi, reasoning and proof systems, processes, resources, models and modes of information and knowledge processing, communication and interactions are some of the key concepts behind.

Computability, efficiency, complexity (computational, communication, interaction, descriptive,...) feasibility, universality, emergence, security, privacy, provability, learnability, validation and (formal) correctness, as well as secrecy, confidentiality, privacy and anonymity are some of the key issues.

Information and knowledge digitalization, mining, analysis, sorting, comparing, searching, compression, representation, visualisation and transmission are some of the primitives of information processing.

In order to meet its goals, the scientific Informatics develops close relations with other sciences and technology fields - currently especially with Physics, Biology and Chemistry, on one hand, and with electronics, optics, nano- and bio-technologies on the other hand.

The basis of the relationship between Informatics and the natural sciences rests first of all on the fact that information carriers are always elements of the physical, biological or chemical worlds, and consequently information processing is governed and constrained by their laws and limitations. Of importance is also that information processes are an inherent part of the basic aspects of the nature and life.

Informatics as a science includes also numerous theories much needed for its development to depth and in broadness. Some theories are very abstract, others quite specific, and some theories are oriented on making better use of the outcomes of the scientific Informatics to create a scientific basis of engineering Informatics and Informatics-driven methodology.

To meet its scientific goals, Informatics has to develop a whole variety of subareas. Some are deeply abstract and appear to be, at the first sight, quite remote from the main tasks and interest of the current engineering or applied Informatics, yet they serve to develop deep insights into the key problems and powerful conceptual tools.

In order to derive a deeper understanding of our real information processing world, Informatics has to create and explore a whole variety of other (virtual) information processing worlds. By broadening so much its scope in this way, Informatics can also develop very powerful tools to deal with information processing related problems of our real world.

INFORMATICS as the leading SCIENCE

Informatics is, without doubts, currently the leading science and technology discipline with enormous impacts on all other sciences, technologies, industry, economics, health and environment care, liberal art and so on - guiding them and serving them.

There has ever been a "queen of science" with very broad impacts, also on all education. Some examples.

- Medicine in Padua and at the same time theology in Paris in 17th century
- Philology at the Renaissance
- Mathematics after Galileo time due to its methodological impacts and Physics in the 20th century during its impacts on industrial revolution.
- By Ernest Rutherford (1912), **In Science there is only Physics: all the rest is stamps collecting.**

Observation: The leading science always had a crucial impact on ALL education.

Three big questions:

- Will science ends?
- Can science still expect such great discoveries as those of Darwin, Einstein and Watson-Crick?
- Will singularity be able to provide answers to both of previous questions?

- Informatics can be seen as the first, the oldest, science.
- Are there parts of science that can be seen as having end? Anatomy of human body, geography, chemistry,...
- There are surely areas of science that have already practically died. For example, astrology.
- If an area of science becomes impractical, as well as become incompressible, it may lost support of society.
- Since many areas of science can be seen as converging to informatics it is quite natural to explore the question whether Informatics could be seen as the last all-sciences-embracing, science.
- Though it is true that not only information-processing phenomena are important in all sciences it is quite safe to say that other areas of science have diminishing returns.
- By far the greatest barrier to future progress in pure science is its past success.

- There are two major modes of human knowledge: science and philosophy. (View of philosophers.)
- Most philosophers are deeply depressed because they cannot produce anything worthwhile. Each philosopher, by pushing his ideas too far, by taking them too seriously, ends up in an absurd, self-contradicting position.

Karl Popper

- In *After philosophy: end of transformation*, published in 1987, fourteen prominent philosophers considered the question **Has philosophy future?**. The consensus was philosophical: **Maybe, maybe not.**

- To explore our world as a point in a space of potential information processing worlds.
- To explore laws and limitations of information processing that governs universe, evolution and life.
- To develop theoretical foundations for design, analysis, verification, security, simulation and modeling of huge information processing systems
- To understand mind, consciousness, intelligence and creativity.
- To make foundations for science and engineering of the science making activities.
- To understand and manage all aspects of computation, communication and other complexities

GRAND CHALLENGES of MATHEMATICS

To meet 21st century, The Clay Mathematical Institute in USA formulated in 2000 the following seven challenges solution of each is honored by one million dollar award.

- **P = NP**
- Poincaré conjecture (solved 2003 (Perelman) , solution verified 2006)
- Hodge conjecture
- Riemann hypothesis
- Yang-Mills existence and mass gap
- Navier-Stokes existence and smoothness
- Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture

Riemann hypothesis: All nontrivial zeros of the analytical continuation of the Riemann zeta function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ have a real part $1/2$.

Hodge conjecture: For projective algebraic varieties, Hodge cycles are rational combinations of algebraic cycles.

GRAND CHALLENGES/PROBLEMS of THEORETICAL PHYSICS

- (**Problem of the theory of everything.**) To unify general relativity theory and quantum theory into a single theory (that could be then seen as a complete theory of nature).
- (**Problem of the interpretation of quantum theory.**) To solve the problem of foundation of quantum theory either by finding a clear interpretation of current theory or by finding a new theory without current inconsistencies.
- (**Problem of the unification of particles and forces.**) To find out whether all particles and forces could be described by a single theory that could explain all of them as following from a single fundamental entity.
- (**Problem of the constants.**) To explain how nature chooses values of constants in the standard model of nature.
- (**Problem of black matter and energy**) Explain the nature of black matter and black energy or, if they do not exist, explain how and why is gravitation modified for huge sizes. To explain why constants in the standard cosmological model have values as they do.

Challenges are from the book of Lee Smolin: [The trouble with physics. The rise of string theory, the fall of science and what comes next](#): 2006

SOME of the GRAND CHALLENGES of INFORMATICS in MORE DETAILS - I.

- To work out ways how to see our world as "a point" in a huge space of other related (abstract) [virtual] words and to come up with new ways to understand and deal with the problems of our world through the investigation of and in this large space of worlds.
- To discover and understand laws, limitations, paradigms, processes and phenomena of the information processing that govern the evolution of the universe and life and play the key role in the development of nature in general and especially of living beings.

SOME of the GRAND CHALLENGES of INFORMATICS in MORE DETAILS - II.

- To create models, theories and (especially formal) tools to help engineering Informatics to specify, design, verify, analyze and maintain (especially enormously large), concurrent and distributed (software) systems as well as embedded systems.
- To create concepts models and theories for an understanding of intelligence and basics of intelligent behaviour, as well as consciousness (its origin, scope and functioning), and all that to such an extent that "highly intelligent information processing systems, devices and robots" become a reality.

SOME of the GRAND CHALLENGES of INFORMATICS in MORE DETAILS - III.

- To develop concepts, theories, models, methodologies and tools that would lead to the development of very powerful methods to search, mine, retrieve and derive information and knowledge as well as to make hypothesis and formulate theories and all that in a way leading to *an engineering of the major science making activities*.
- To explore in depth inherent complexity of information processing, communication and interaction phenomena and to find ways for reasonable solutions of computationally very intensive, or even unfeasible, problems, in the current view of the issues, and of the

CHALLENGE 1 - TO EXPLORE THE SPACE OF POTENTIAL INFORMATION WORLDS I.

History of science suggests that a deeper understanding of a phenomenon or of an object comes when a way is found to see properly that phenomenon or object as only a particular one in a very large, close and nicely smooth space of (quite) similar phenomena.

Three of the recently explored ways to see our physical world in a broader class of potential physical worlds are;

- To explore worlds with more powerful correlations than those of quantum correlations, but that still do not contradict general relativity.
- To explore worlds where various natural generalizations of entanglement are valid.

CHALLENGE 1 - TO EXPLORE THE SPACE OF POTENTIAL INFORMATION WORLDS II.

- Technical examples along these lines are attempts to see theories of the classical and quantum mechanics as "points" in a larger space of possible theories that preserve some theoretical constraints and also possibilities of both of these theories.
- The attempt initiated by S. Abramsky and B. Coecke is based on abstracting the essential categorical features of classical and quantum mechanics that support such constraints and possibilities.
- Another approach is to go through various generalisations of the probability theory, through abstract state spaces, as done by H. Barnum, C. Brukner and others, or to study potential ("physical") worlds with correlations that are stronger than the quantum ones but still do not contradict the non-signaling restriction of the relativity theory.

Case study I: HOW MUCH HELPS PHYSICS the STUDY of "STRANGE" PHYSICAL WORLDS?

or, more technically,

**HOW MANY GEOMETRIES
OF THE PHYSICAL WORLDS ARE USEFUL?**

- The first important step was the development of Euclidean geometry that was actually a useful abstraction of our basic vision of our 3-dimensional physical world.
- Euclidean geometry can be seen as the most basic and first perfect physical theory.
- Arithmetization of Euclidean geometry by Descartes (1596-1650) open the door to the study of much larger class of geometrical objects of the two and three-dimensional worlds and also paved the way for study of more (even infinite) dimensional worlds.

- The development of non-Euclidean geometries by Lobachevsky and others showed that apparently very strange virtual worlds can represent features of very real world..
- Another big revolution in geometry started Riemann (1826-1866) by considering geometries as n -dimensional manifolds with a general quadratic differential form as its metric. This allowed to generalize much the concept of *curvature*, to show that there are different kinds of space of three-dimensions, what could soon be used by Einstein in his theory of relativity.

- Another big step in the area of virtualization and abstraction in geometry was initiated by F. Klein (1849-1929) who started to see the task of geometry as the study of **geometrical objects as invariants** of some virtual worlds (manifolds) **with respect to special groups of transformations**. The field developed as driven by the principles of the mathematical art and an understanding that peculiar geometries may reflect features of some physical worlds.
- Klein's approach allowed to see dimensionality of a virtual world as depending of chosen class of elementary objects. As a consequence our physical world can be seen as three, four and even five dimensional.

SOME PROBLEMS SCIENTIFIC INFORMATICS DEALS WITH - I.

- What are the laws, limits, elements, structures, processes and methods of information world and what are their properties?
- **What is feasible?**
 - What is feasibly **constructable, solvable, computable, decidable**?
 - What has (and is) a **feasible evidence, a proof**?
 - What is feasibly **learnable, expressible, communicable**?
- To study space of information processing **problems**, its structure, hierarchies, reductions, equivalences, hardest problems,...
- To study the space of information processing **resources**. What are their properties and power? Which relations and trade-offs are among them? How powerful are such resources as **time, space, randomness, interactions, parallelism, concurrency, nondeterminism, alteration, reversibility, entanglement, non-local correlations**?

- To study space of information processing **machines**, their power, mutual simulations. Models of machines that fit existing technologies, physical theories,....
- To study the space of **descriptive systems**: logics, languages, rewriting systems, automata,....
- To study **inherent complexity** of objects, processes (computational, communication, descriptive,....
- To study information, knowledge, reasoning, intellectual properties,...

The main goal of *Physics* can be seen as to study laws, limitations and phenomena of the *physical worlds*.

The main goal of *Informatics* can be seen as to study laws, limitations and phenomena of the *information worlds*.

Physics and Informatics can therefore be seen as representing two windows through which we try to perceive and understand the world around us.

In a similar way we can see life-sciences and Informatics as providing two windows and tools with which we try to understand, imitate and outperform the biological world and its highlights - human brain, mind, consciousness, and cognitive capabilities.

I think of my lifetime in physics as divided into three periods

- In the first period ...I was in the grip of the idea that

EVERYTHING IS PARTICLE

- I call my second period

EVERYTHING IS FIELDS

- Now I am in the grip of a new vision, that

EVERYTHING IS INFORMATION

John Archibald Wheeler
Gems, Black Holes and Quantum Foam

- **"I have been led to think of analogies between the way a computer works and the way the universe works. The computer is built on yes-no logic. So, perhaps is the universe ... The universe and all that it contains ("it") may arise from the myriad yes-no choices of measurements (the "bits");**
- **By Wheeler, information has some connection to existence, a view he advertised with the slogan "It from bit" - or, in other words, that "Everything is information".**

IT FROM BIT symbolizes the idea that every item of the physical world has at the bottom - at the very bottom, in most instances - an immaterial source and explanation.

Namely, that which we call **reality** arises from posing of yes-no questions, and registering of equipment-invoked responses.

In short, that things physical are information theoretic in origin.

WHO WAS JOHN ARCHIBALD WHEELER (1912-2008)?

- A man who named black holes.
- A man who helped to explain nuclear fusion.
- A leading expert in quantum physics, relativity theory.
- Wheeler is considered among physicists as one of the greatest teachers of the last century.
- Richard Feynman was one of his students.

- Democritos; Nothing exists except atoms and empty space; everything else is opinion.
- Philolaus: All things that can be known have number; for it is not possible that without number anything can be either conceived or known.
- Plato created a beautiful unified theory of matter according to which everything is constructed of the ideal right triangles.
- Cezanne: Everything in nature can be modeled from a sphere, a cone and a cylinder.
- Galileo: The book of universe was written in mathematical language and its alphabet consists of triangles, circles and other geometrical figures.

- I think that modern physics has definitely decided in favour of Plato. In fact the smallest units of matter are not physical objects in the ordinary sense: they are forms, ideas which can be expressed unambiguously only in mathematical language.

W. Heisenberg

- Assumption that our world is a finite system does not just hint that information aspects of physics are important, it insists that *information aspects are all there is to physics at the most microscopic level.*

E. Fredkin

RELATIONS of INFORMATICS to OTHER SCIENCES

There are several basic reasons why relations between Informatics and other sciences are getting stronger and stronger, deeper and deeper.

- It starts to be understood that other sciences are in their fundamentals much information processing driven and converge to the state that studying their information processing aspects is becoming one of the main way to get a deeper insight into them. Both physics and biology are perhaps main ones of that type. For example, it starts to be understood that information processing is for life as important as eating and breathing.
- Information processing view of many phenomena deepen our understanding of them and allow us to grasp what was before elusive.
- Because of strong impacts of informatics, especially of its methodology, on all sciences, one can talk about their convergence to and increasingly strong relation with Informatics.
- Informatics has been designing systems that are so huge and ever evolving in a not much coordinated distributive way, as internet, silicon compilers and so on, that they need to be studied by observational and experimental methods of natural and social sciences. as a consequence, that brings Informatics closer to these disciplines. All that also creates a space for influencing Informatics development on the basis of laws, limitations and findings of natural and social sciences.

**WHAT CAN BE LEARNED
from the HISTORY of OTHER SCIENCES?**

A success of a science depends much on how large and at the same time intellectually simple, smooth and dense space it is able to create and investigate in comparison to that observable by human senses and common sense.

- Physics was first named as *natural philosophy*.
- For a long time physics was seen as dealing with stuff we can handle between fingers.
- Quantum physics and relativity theory opened a new dimension to physics with big impacts on the stuff we can handle between fingers.
- Discoveries in cosmology shifted scientific interest to the study of black holes, Planck scale space and time, black matter,.....

Moral: Physics got a new dimension, regarding knowledge and usefulness, by extending VERY MUCH its scope and research space.

- In the 17th century physics solved the long standing problem of the understanding of the direct motion.
- In the process of doing this physics was able to replace a mysterious concept of **impetus** by the modern concept of **inertia** and to come to the modern theory of motion and by doing this to *drive out spirits from the scientific thoughts* and open the door to see the universe as running as a piece of clockwork.
- **Physics did that by geometrizing the problem.** That is by solving the problem in a **virtual (geometric) frictionless, directionless, gravitationless and empty space.**

Physics as the science has had so far practical applications exceeding that of any science before.

In spite of that, the need to deal with immediate problems of the practice can hardly be seen as the main, or as the only, driving force of its development.

It has been rather curiosity and the need to extend our knowledge and understanding of the physical world that was behind its main discoveries and contributions.

Physics has made big progress even by exploring properties of particles that are not sure to exist (in some reasonable sense) or to develop theories we see no way to verify experimentally.

APPENDIX I

- To develop a proper understanding of spacetime as well as of the universe and its evolution.
- How to transfer to physics such concepts as universality, complexity, computability and feasibility?
- To find out what is an ultimate computer?
- To search for minimal universal physical information processing phenomena.
- To design powerful quantum computers
- To make perfect security society in a way that undetected physical crime is practically impossible.
- ...

- To get deeper insights into biological phenomena through their simulation.
- To get deeper insight into natural life phenomena by designing and exploring artificial life phenomena.
- To understand cells information processing
- To understand the role information processing plays for life and for evolution.
- To understand life and evolution.
- To develop a model of the brain and mind as well as of conscious activities.
- To develop individual patients models of human body and its processes.

- Chemistry is the study of the composition, properties and behaviours of matter. Chemistry is concerned with atoms and their interactions with other atoms and particular with the property of chemical bounds.
- Chemistry is also seen as the central science bridging physics and biology.
- Biology is the study of life and living organisms, including their structures, functions growth, evolution, distribution and taxonomy.